

Response of different sowing densities on agronomic parameters in the cultivation of *mejen* corn in Tabasco, Mexico

Córdova-Sánchez, Samuel¹; Góngora-Cruz, Karen G.¹; Hernández-Villegas, Manuel M.¹; Salgado-Velázquez, Sergio^{2*}; López-Castañeda, Antonio¹; Castañeda-Ceja, Raúl¹

¹ Universidad Popular de la Chontalpa, CA-QVyDS, Carretera Cárdenas - Huimanguillo, Km. 2.0 Cárdenas, Tabasco, Mexico.

² Colegio de Postgraduados-Campus Tabasco, Grupo MASCANA, Km. 3.5 Periférico Carlos A. Molina S/N, H. Cárdenas, Tabasco, C.P. 86500, Mexico.

* Correspondence: salgado.sergio@colpos.mx

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the response of sowing densities on agronomic parameters in the native *mejen* corn.

Design/Methodology/Approach: A randomized complete block design with four repetitions was used for the treatments: T1 (0.25 m between plants and two seeds per hole (80,000 plants ha⁻¹)), T2 (0.50 m between plants and three seeds per hole (60,000 plants ha⁻¹)), T3 (0.75 m between plants and four seeds per hole (53,333 plants ha⁻¹)), and T4 (1 m between plants and five seeds per hole (50,000 plants ha⁻¹)). The following variables were determined: plant height without male flower (PHWMF, cm), ear size (ES, cm), plant, bracts, and rachis dry biomass (t ha⁻¹); number of bracts, rows per ear, grain per row, grains per ear, and grain yield (GY, t ha⁻¹).

Results: Sowing densities influence the morphological response of plants, ears, and GY. The treatment with 80,000 plants ha⁻¹ recorded a GY of 4.75 t ha⁻¹ in traditional systems in Tabasco—greater than the regional average of 1.94 t ha⁻¹.

Study Limitations/Implications: The architecture of native corn allows an increase in productivity, as a result of the use of high densities.

Findings/Conclusions: Although treatments with greater sowing distances obtained a lower number of grains per ear, this phenomenon is compensated by the greater number of plants per row that leads to higher grain yields.

Keywords: ear, bracts, rachis, grain yield, rows.

Citation: Córdova-Sánchez, S., Góngora-Cruz, K. G., Hernández-Villegas, M. M., Salgado-Velázquez, S., López-Castañeda, A., & Castañeda-Ceja, R. (2023). Response of different sowing densities on agronomic parameters in the cultivation of *mejen* corn in Tabasco, Mexico. *Agro Productividad*. <https://doi.org/10.32854/agrop.v16i9.2623>

Academic Editors: Jorge Cadena Iñiguez and Lucero del Mar Ruiz Posadas

Received: July 04, 2023.

Accepted: September 19, 2023.

Published on-line: November 27, 2023.

Agro Productividad, 16(10). October. 2023. pp: 95-102.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International license.



INTRODUCTION

Corn is the most studied crop in Mexico. It is grown in 475,339.43 ha, with an average yield of 3.87 t ha⁻¹. In the case of Tabasco, grain production reaches 149,737.65 t, with a yield of 1.92 t ha⁻¹. Approximately 11,667 ha are cultivated in Huimanguillo, one of Tabasco's municipalities, obtaining a yield of 1.94 t ha⁻¹, which accounts for 14.36% of the state production (SIAP, 2020).



In these agricultural areas, farmers prefer to use native corn genotypes and implement systems such as the *milpa* (corn associated with horticultural and forestry crops) and low sowing densities, which causes variability in grain yield (Macías *et al.*, 2023).

Mejen is one of the most cultivated native corns in different regions of Tabasco, especially as part of the agroecosystem known as *marceño*, which is based on the traditional agriculture of the floodplains of Tabasco (Salgado-Velázquez *et al.*, 2021). In the *marceño* system, the *mejen* is grown during the dry season, taking advantage of the residual soil moisture. At its physiological maturity, *mejen* is tolerant to flooding, germinates in humid soils, matures between 2.5 to 3.5 months, and is accepted for human consumption (Narez-Jiménez *et al.*, 2015; Peraza-Villarreal *et al.*, 2019). However, the current worldwide trend is to implement agricultural practices that increase grain yields, mainly based on genetic improvement and high sowing densities, regardless of the different edaphoclimatic conditions (Aguilar, 2014).

Sowing density affects corn grain production. A wrong decision regarding this factor causes competition for resources within the plot, resulting in small plants, ear malformation, lack of grain filling, and low weight. It also causes an inadequate use of the crop area, as a consequence of greater evaporation, greater lodging, less leaf area, and less light capture. In this respect, it is important to choose an optimal sowing density, especially in native corn, since the increase in leaf decline generates a more homogeneous distribution of solar radiation in the growing area; meanwhile, the time it takes for corn to be covered by the canopy is reduced (Sánchez-Mendoza *et al.*, 2017; Salgado-García *et al.*, 2021). A recent work about native corn in Tabasco showed that the use of high sowing densities doubles local yields (Ramírez-Gómez *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, a greater or lesser regularity in the spatial distribution of plants can generate differences in yield between plots with the same type and population of corn (Chérrez, 2015). Therefore, the spatial arrangement of *mejen* corn sowing should be improved through the evaluation of different sowing densities and their effect on agronomic parameters, with the objective of evaluating which sowing distances can increase the productivity of *mejen* corn.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

During the spring-summer 2021 cycle, a *mejen* corn experiment was established in the Ranchería de Rio Seco y Montaña 2da section, in Huimanguillo, Tabasco, located at the UTM geographic coordinates X-439240.5 and Y-1985195.9. Corn has been planted in this area for more than 70 years. For this study, the native *mejen* corn, characterized by thin rachis and semi-toothed grain, was used.

Experimental design and treatment generation

A randomized complete block design was used, with the following treatments under study: T1 (0.25 m between plants and two seeds per hole (80,000 plants ha⁻¹)), T2 (0.50 m between plants and three seeds per hole (60,000 plants ha⁻¹)), T3 (0.75 m between plants and four seeds per hole (53,333 plants ha⁻¹)), and T4 (1 m between plants and five seeds per hole (50,000 plants ha⁻¹)).

The experimental plot consisted of three 2-m long furrows. Each treatment had four repetitions, generating 16 experimental plots. The central furrow of each experimental plot was taken as the useful section for data collection. Three plants were chosen at random from each central furrow to collect data, generating a total of 12 repetitions per treatment. The furrows of all experimental units were separated by one meter.

The seeds were placed by hand in each sowing hole, according to the corresponding treatment. Twenty-five days after the plant emerged, the 120N-80P-40K fertilization dose—with the commercial presentations of urea (46% N), triple superphosphate (46% P), and potassium chloride (60% K)—recommended for corn in the tropics (Barrón, 1998) was applied. The agronomic management was based on the technological package developed by INIFAP for corn cultivation (INIFAP, 2015). Hand weeding and insect pest controls were carried out. Pest control was first carried out 25 days after emergence, using 3 mL L⁻¹ of cypermethrin; subsequently, it was carried out every eight days. Once the grain began to emerge, common traps (bird scarers) were set up. Finally, relief irrigation was carried out after 45 days of emergence.

Variables

Plant height without male flower (PHWMF, cm) and ear size (ES, cm)

The PHWMF was measured prior to the VT stage (ear emergence) and the ES during the R1 stage (female flowering). Three plants were selected at random from the central furrow of each experimental unit and were measured with a flexometer (Ali *et al.*, 2017).

Male flower length (MFL, cm) and number of leaves below the ear (NLBE)

The MFL and NLBE were determined in three plants of the central furrow of each experimental unit.

Yield

Plant, bracts, and rachis dry biomass (t ha⁻¹) and grain yield (GY, t ha⁻¹)

The plant, bracts, and rachis fresh weight was determined in the field during stage R6 (physiological maturity), using a grain scale. Three ears of three plants were selected from the central furrow of each experimental unit for their measurement. The samples were placed in manila envelopes and labeled for their identification. The samples were dried in an oven with air flow at 65 °C for 72 h to determine their humidity percentage; subsequently, the plant, bracts, and rachis dry weight was calculated in the Petroleum Chemistry laboratory of the Universidad Popular de la Chontalpa (UPCh).

To determine the grain yield, the total grains per ear were weighed and multiplied by the number of plants in each of the sowing densities.

Number of leaves (bracts), rows, grain per row, and grain per ear

Three ears were chosen at random from the central part of each experimental unit. The number of bracts, the number of rows, and the grains per row were counted for each physiologically mature ear. Finally, the grains per row were multiplied by the number of rows to obtain the total number of grains per ear.

The randomized complete blocks were subjected to an analysis of variance. The variables with statistically significant differences were subjected to a multiple-comparison test of means, using the Tukey method ($P \leq 0.05$). All analysis were performed with SAS 9.3 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height without male flower (PHWMF, cm) and ear size (ES, cm)

Based on the analysis of variance (Table 1), the PHWMF and ES did not present statistically significant differences between sowing density treatments. The coefficients of variation (19.53 for PHWMF and 19.51 for ES) are considered admissible.

The results of this study are similar to those reported by Sánchez-Mendoza et al. (2017) in Valles Altos de México and Ramírez-Gómez *et al.* (2020) in Tabasco, both of whom evaluated native corn with different sowing densities. The low PHWMF and ES values were lower than those established by Ramírez-Gómez *et al.* (2020); this low height could be caused by the low soil moisture contents and the scarce precipitation in the period (date) of the field experiment. However, lower height and size values for PHWMF and ES are desirable, since other reports have related these variables to acame (lodging) in native corn (Del Carmen-Bravo *et al.*, 2022). Likewise, the reported ES facilitates the manual harvest of *mejen* corn, especially when it has been established in the lowlands of Tabasco, which face problems from excess humidity (Ramírez-Gómez *et al.*, 2020).

Male flower length (MFL, cm) and number of leaves below the ear (NLBE)

MFL and NLBE did not present statistical differences between sowing densities, according to the analysis of variance (Table 1). These results are contrary to the findings of Cao *et al.* (2021), who point out that the sowing density must be taken into account: a high density reduces the transmission of light within a crop and accelerates the senescence of the leaves, which affects the crop's photosynthesis and the distribution of substances, limiting grain development (Chávez *et al.*, 2021).

Table 1. Analysis of variance and Tukey means of the different sowing densities treatments in the study and their effect on the plant height without male flower, ear, inflorescence, and bracts of *mejen* corn in Huimanguillo, Tabasco.

| Treatment (Plants ha ⁻¹) | PHWMF cm | ES cm | MFL cm | NLBE | |
|---|-------------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|
| 80 000 | 144.69a | 74.28a | 37.73a | 8.25a | |
| 60 000 | 147.06a | 89.27a | 44.75a | 9.00a | |
| 53 333 | 141.48a | 79.27a | 40.33a | 7.50a | |
| 50 000 | 129.11a | 69.99a | 30.90a | 7.50a | |
| CV | 19.53 | 19.51 | 18.09 | 10.59 | |
| Prob. of F. | 0.798 | | 0.357 | 0.086 | 0.083 |
| MSD | 57.62 | | 32.02 | 14.58 | 1.79 |

PHWMF: plant height without male flower; ES: ear size; MFL: male flower length; NLBE: number of leaves below the ear; CV: coefficient of variation; MSD: minimum significant difference. Values in a column with the same letters have no significant difference (NS), according to Tukey's tests ($p > 0.05$).

Performance variables

According to the analysis of variance (Table 2), the dry biomass of the plant and the bracts did not have statistical differences, unlike the dry biomass of rachis. Tukey's test ($P < 0.05$) showed that the sowing density treatment with 80,000 plants ha^{-1} was superior to the others.

The 80,000-plants ha^{-1} sowing density treatment had values of 7.87, 1.21, and 0.68 t ha^{-1} , for the highest plant, bracts, and rachis dry biomass, respectively. These yields were higher than those found by Córdova-Sánchez *et al.* (2012), who reported a production of 3.20 t ha^{-1} of plant dry matter, 0.78 t ha^{-1} of bracts, and 0.68 t ha^{-1} of rachis, with the same variety (*mejen*) and with a sowing density of two seeds per hole, 0.25 cm between plants, and one meter between furrows. In fact, the competition for major growth factors is minimal among more evenly spaced plants. The growth factor that is most affected is light, followed by nutrients and water—a phenomenon which is perhaps related to the dry biomass of the plant and bracts, although it does not influence the biomass of the rachis (Testa *et al.*, 2016).

Grain yield (GY, t ha^{-1})

The analysis of variance (Table 2) showed statistically significant differences between the different sowing density treatments. According to Tukey's test ($P < 0.05$), the treatments with 80,000 and 53,333 plants ha^{-1} obtained the best results. Likewise, grain yields and rachis dry weight showed a trend as sowing densities increased.

The highest GY was recorded with the sowing density of 80,000 plants per hectare (4.75 t ha^{-1}) and it was higher than the GY reported by Ramírez-Gómez *et al.* (2020), who used native corn from Tabasco and sowing densities of 0.20 and 0.25 between plants (with GYs of 3.86 and 3.80 t ha^{-1} , respectively), 1.0 m between rows, and two seeds per hole.

The results of this study show that it is possible to increase local yields by 1.94 t ha^{-1} of grain for native corn in the state of Tabasco (SIAP, 2021). Like many native corns, the

Table 2. Analysis of variance and Tukey's test for the different sowing densities treatments under study and their effect on the plant, bracts, and rachis dry biomass (t ha^{-1}) and grain yield (t ha^{-1}) per hectare of *mejen* corn, in Huimanguillo, Tabasco.

| Treatments Plants ha^{-1} | Dry biomass | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | Plant | Bracts (Joloche) | Rachis (Bacal) | Grain Yield (GY) |
| | t ha^{-1} | | | |
| 80 000 | 7.87a | 1.21a | 0.68a | 4.75a |
| 60 000 | 6.70a | 1.01a | 0.46ab | 3.56b |
| 53 333 | 6.63a | 0.91a | 0.49ab | 3.70ab |
| 50 000 | 5.26a | 0.63a | 0.36b | 2.55b |
| C.V. | 23.02 | 30.32 | 24.22 | 15.49 |
| Prob. of F. | 0.174NS | 0.081NS | 0.01* | 0.001** |
| MSD | 3.20 | 0.60 | 0.25 | 1.18 |

Values in a column with the same letter have no significant difference (NS); *: a highly significant difference.

architecture of the leaf angle is wider than in the hybrids, in which it is more erect and closed. When it is combined with a greater distance between rows, it allows the crop to efficiently take advantage of the solar radiation, assimilating photosynthates, especially in the leaves adjacent to the ear; this phenomenon is reflected in higher grain yields (Sánchez-Mendoza *et al.*, 2017). Table 3 shows that an increase in the sowing density and a shorter distance between plants improves grain yield (Gao *et al.*, 2021), despite its low number of grains per ear (Blanco-Valdés and González-Viera, 2021). Therefore, increasing sowing densities causes a decrease in yield per plant, although the greater number of plants increases grain yield (Getaneh *et al.*, 2016).

Number of bracts, rows per ear, grains per row, and grains per ear

According to the analysis of variance (Table 3), the number of bracts and the number of rows per ear did not have a statistically significant difference, unlike grains per row and grains per ear. According to Tukey's test ($P < 0.05$), grains per row and grains per ear had similar values in the treatments with 53,333 plants ha^{-1} .

These results differ from the findings of Jia *et al.* (2018), who reported that the number of rows per ear increased with sowing densities. The highest number of total grains per row and per ear were found with 53,333 plants ha^{-1} , 32.15 total grains per row, and 335.93 total grains per ear. This increase in the number of grains per ear and per plant—when a greater space is established between rows—is attributed to a greater net assimilation rate of corn varieties and to the division and reduction of competition in larger spaces (Shaka *et al.*, 2019). This was the case of the 53,000 and 60,000 plants ha^{-1} sowing densities, which had greater spacing between plants per row.

Consequently, ears with higher grain numbers do not always generate higher grain yields: the treatment with 80,000 plants ha^{-1} recorded the highest grain yield and obtained the lowest number of grains per ear. This phenomenon is attributed to greater competition in the surface of the soil among roots, as a result of shorter distances between plants, which reduces root weight and their correct functioning (Gao *et al.*, 2021).

Table 3. Analysis of variance and Tukey's test for the different densities analyzed and their effect on the number of bracts, rows of grains, grains per row, and grains per ear of *mejen* corn, in Huimanguillo, Tabasco.

| Plants ha^{-1} | Number of bracts (Joloche) | Rows per ear | Grains per row | Grains per ear |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| 80,000 | 12.40a | 10.25a | 23.65b | 242.45ab |
| 60,000 | 10.50a | 9.78a | 26.25ab | 257.98ab |
| 53,333 | 12.23a | 10.43a | 32.15a | 335.93a |
| 50,000 | 12.78a | 10.23a | 22.93b | 233.88b |
| C.V. | 12.99 | 7.31 | 14.31 | 17.34 |
| Prob. of F. | 0.22NS | 0.65NS | 0.01* | 0.03* |
| MSD | 3.27 | 1.56 | 7.89 | 97.38 |

Values in a column with the same letter have no significant difference (NS), according to Tukey's test ($P < 0.05$).

CONCLUSIONS

Sowing densities influence plant morphological adaptation, ears, and particularly grain yield response. The increase in sowing densities represented an increase in grain yield for native mejen corn. The treatment with 80,000 plants ha^{-1} had a grain yield of 4.75 t ha^{-1} —a value higher than the 1.92 t ha^{-1} regional average in low-density systems in Tabasco. The results indicate that the lower number of grains per ear of the treatments with greater sowing distances is compensated by the higher number of plants per row, given the higher grain yields obtained.

REFERENCES

- Aguilar, C. C. (2014). Calidad de rendimiento de maíz en función del tipo de fertilización en ambientes contrastantes. Tesis doctorado. Postgrado de botánica. Colegio de postgraduados - Campus Montecillo, Texcoco, Edo. De México. pp. 103-117. <https://library.co/document/wq2mr1jy-calidad-rendimiento-maiz-funcion-tipo-fertilizacion-ambientes-contrastantes.html>
- Ali, A., Muhammad, E. S., Muhammad, I., Rafi, Q., Muhammad, A. A., Bashrat, A., & Muhammad, A. J. (2017). Inter-and Intra-Row and plant spacing impact on maize (*Zea mays* L.) growth and productivity: A review. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Research* 2(1): 10-14.
- Barrón, F. S. (1998). Manual para producir maíz en Tabasco. Fundación Produce Tabasco, A. C. INIFAP. Villahermosa, Tabasco. 20 p.
- Blacklow, W. M. (1972). Descripción matemática de la influencia de la temperatura y la calidad de la semilla en la imbibición de semillas de maíz (*Zea mays* L.). *Crop Science* 12: 643-646. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1972.0011183X001200050027x>
- Blanco-Valdes, Y., & González-Viera, D. (2021). Influencia de la densidad de población en el cultivo de maíz (*Zea mays* L.). *Cultivos Tropicales*, 42(3).
- Chávez, R. G. T., Aguilar, R. V. L., & García, C. A. T. (2021). Riego deficitario y densidad de siembra en indicadores morfofisiológicos y productivos de híbrido de maíz. *Revista ESPAMCIENCIA* ISSN 1390-8103 12(2): 131-140.
- Chérrez, B. V. H. (2015). Evaluación de dos distancias de siembra y tres niveles de fertilización con N, P, K, en el cultivo de maíz (*Zea mays* L.). Tesis de licenciatura. Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo. Riobamba, Ecuador. 89 p. [Http://dspace.esoch.edu.ec/bitstream/123456789/4262/1/13T0806%20.pdf](http://dspace.esoch.edu.ec/bitstream/123456789/4262/1/13T0806%20.pdf)
- Córdova-Sánchez, S.; Cárdenas-Navarro, R.; Peña-Cabriales, J. J.; Salgado-García, S.; Castelán-Estrada, M. y Lobbit-Phillipe, C. (2012). Maíz en asociación y rotación con fabáceas en suelos ácidos de la sabana de Huimanguillo, Tabasco, México. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems* 15: 665-677. <https://www.revista.coba.uady.mx/ojs/index.php/TSA/article/view/1256/791>
- De la Cruz-Lázaro, E.; Córdova-Orellana, H.; Estrada-Botello, M. A.; Mendoza-Palacios, J. D.; Gómez-Vázquez, A. y Brito-Manzano, N. P. (2009). Rendimiento de grano de genotipos de maíz sembrados bajo tres densidades de población. *Universidad y Ciencia* 25(1), 93-98. <https://www.scielo.org.mx/pdf/uc/v25n1/v25n1a7.pdf>
- Del Carmen-Bravo, G., Gil-Muñoz, A., Antonio-López, P., Reyes-López, D., & Ocampo-Fletes, I. (2022). Variedades compuestas, una opción de aprovechamiento de la diversidad de las poblaciones nativas de maíz. *Revista Fitotecnia Mexicana* 45(1): 3-3.
- Di Rienzo, J. A.; Casanoves, F.; Balzarini, M. G.; Gonzalez, L.; Tablada, M. y Robledo, C. W. (2008). InfoStat, versión (2008), Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina.
- FAO, 2019. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAOSTAT. <http://www.fao.org/home/en>.
- Gao, J., Lei, M., Yang, L., Wang, P., Tao, H., & Huang, S. (2021). Reduced row spacing improved yield by optimizing root distribution in maize. *European Journal of Agronomy* 127: 126291. doi:10.1016/j.eja.2021.126291.
- Getaneh, L., Belete, K., & Tana, T. (2016). Growth and productivity of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by inter and intra-row spacing in Kombolcha, Eastern Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology* 12: 90-101.
- Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas y Pecuarias (2015). Agenda Técnica Agrícola de Tabasco. Segunda edición. ISBN: 978-607-7668-16-9. México. 122 p. Desde: https://vun.inifap.gob.mx/VUN_MEDIA/BibliotecaWeb/_media/_agendas/3106_4811_Agenda_Tecnologica_Tabasco_2015.pdf

- Jawhar, A. K., & Dizayee, A. S. (2022). Yield and Growth Indices of Hybrid Maize (*Zea mays* L.) as Influenced by Inter and Intra Row Spacing. *Zanco Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences* 34(5): 169-185.
- Jia, Q., Sun, L., Mou, H., Ali, S., Liu, D., Zhang, Y., & Jia, Z. (2018). Effects of planting patterns and sowing densities on grain-filling, radiation use efficiency and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in semi-arid regions. *Agricultural Water Management* 201: 287-298.
- Ligarreto, G.; Ballén, A. y Huertas, D. (1998). Evaluación de las características cuantitativas de 25 accesiones de maíz (*Zea mays* L.) de la zona andina. *Ciencia y Tecnología Agropecuaria* 2(2): 1-5. https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol2_num2_art:165
- Macías, M. S., Montalvo, F. R., Calderón, A. E., Robledo, M. T., Meza, P. A., & Montiel, N. O. G. (2023). Adaptabilidad de cruzas varietales de maíz en Veracruz y Tabasco. *Revista mexicana de ciencias agrícolas* 14(3): 327-337.
- Narez-Jiménez, C. A., de la Cruz-Lázaro, E., Gómez-Vázquez, A., Cruz-Hernández, A., Brito-Manzano, N. P., & Márquez-Quiroz, C. (2015). Diversidad morfológica de maíces nativos de la región Grijalva del estado de Tabasco, México. *Revista de la Facultad de Agronomía* 32: 1-20.
- Peraza-Villarreal, H., Casas, A., Lindig-Cisneros, R., & Orozco-Segovia, A. (2019). The marceño agroecosystem: Traditional maize production and wetland management in Tabasco, Mexico. *Sustainability* 11(7): 1978.
- Ramírez-Gómez, J. S., de la Rosa-Santamaría, R., Miranda-Colín, S., García-Zavala, J. J., Mejía-Contreras, J. A., García-Velázquez, A., & Vargas-Villamil, L. M. (2020). Comportamiento agronómico del maíz tropical dosmesano nativo de tabasco, México bajo diferentes densidades de siembra. *Revista Fitotecnia Mexicana* 43(3): 251-251.
- Ramírez-Gómez, J. S., de la Rosa-Santamaría, R.; Miranda-Colín, S.; García-Zavala, J. J.; Mejía-Contreras, J. A.; García-Velázquez, A. y Vargas-Villamil, L. M. (2020). Comportamiento agronómico del maíz tropical dosmesano nativo de Tabasco, México bajo diferentes densidades de siembra. *Revista Fitotecnia Mexicana* 43(3): 251-251. <https://doi.org/10.35196/rfm.2020.3.251>
- Rojas, J. C. G. y Mota, M. E. (2002). Agroclimatología del maíz de México. *Revista Geográfica* 132: 123-140. <http://huertofenologico.filos.unam.mx/files/2015/11/Agroclimatolog%C3%ADa-del-ma%C3%ADz-en-M%C3%A9xico.-.pdf>
- Salgado-García, S., Salgado-Velazquez, S., Palma-Lopez, D. J., Zavala-Cruz, J., Cordova-Sanchez, S., Rincon-Ramirez, J. A., & Hernandez-Nataren, E. (2021). Soil fertility classification for sugarcane in supply areas of a sugar mill. *AGROProductividad* 14(10): 139-147.
- Salgado-Velázquez, S., Colorado, Y. A., García, S. S., Sánchez, S. C., López, D. P., y Antonio, J. A. R. R., & Castañeda, L. (2021). Spatial variability of some chemical properties of a Cambisol soil with cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) cultivation. *AGROProductividad* 14(1): 43-49.
- Sánchez-Mendoza, S. M., Escalante-Estrada, J. A. S., & Rodríguez-González, M. T. (2017). Área y ángulo foliar, coeficiente de extinción de luz y su relación con la biomasa y rendimiento en genotipos de maíz. *Ciencias de la economía y agronomía. Handbook T-II. Universidad Autónoma Chapingo. Texcoco, México*, 1-15.
- Shaka, A., Belete, K., Zeleke, H., & Ambo, E. (2019). Effects of Inter-and Intra-Row Spacing on Growth, Green Cob Number and Biomass Yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Varieties at Agarfa, Southeastern Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare* 9(9): 36-46.
- SIAP (Servicio de Información Agroalimentaria y Pesquera). (2020). Anuario Estadístico de la Producción Agrícola: Cultivo de maíz de grano. <https://nube.siap.gob.mx/cierreagricola/>
- SIAP (Servicio de Información Agroalimentaria y Pesquera). (2021). Anuario Estadístico de la Producción Agrícola: Cultivo de maíz de grano. <https://nube.siap.gob.mx/cierreagricola/>
- SNM-CONAGUA (Servicio Meteorológico Nacional – Comisión Nacional del Agua). (2021^a). Resúmenes Mensuales de Temperaturas y Lluvia. <https://smn.conagua.gob.mx/tools/DATA/Climatolog%C3%ADa/Pron%C3%B3stico%20clim%C3%A1tico/Temperatura%20y%20Lluvia/TMED/2021.pdf>
- SNM-CONAGUA (Servicio Meteorológico Nacional – Comisión Nacional del Agua). (2021^B). Resúmenes Mensuales de Temperaturas y Lluvia. <https://smn.conagua.gob.mx/tools/DATA/Climatolog%C3%ADa/Pron%C3%B3stico%20clim%C3%A1tico/Temperatura%20y%20Lluvia/PREC/2021.pdf>
- Testa, G., Reyneri, A., & Blandino, M. (2016). Maize grain yield enhancement through high plant density cultivation with different inter-row and intra-row spacings. *European Journal of Agronomy* 72: 28-37.